Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article was published in an Elsevier journal. The attached copy is furnished to the author for non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the author's institution, sharing with colleagues and providing to institution administration.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright



Journal of THERMAL BIOLOGY

Journal of Thermal Biology 33 (2008) 57-61

www.elsevier.com/locate/jtherbio

# Lizards in the slow lane: Thermal biology of chameleons

Robin M. Andrews\*

Department of Biological Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

Received 15 August 2007; accepted 26 October 2007

# Abstract

- 1. Field body temperatures ( $T_b$ 's) of *Chamaeleo chamaeleon* in southwestern Spain averaged 28 °C in October and 30 °C in June. Slopes of regressions of  $T_b$  on  $T_a$  (ambient temperature at perch height) indicated that individuals were able to maintain a preferred body temperature of about 30 °C in June but not in October.
- 2. Review of data from the literature indicated that chameleons in general have field body temperatures and selected body temperatures (means 30 and 31 °C, respectively) that are low relative to those of most other diurnal lizards.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Chamaeleo chamaeleon; Chamaeleonidae; Field body temperature; Selected body temperature; Thermal biology; Thermoregulation

## 1. Introduction

Body temperatures of lizards measured during normal activity in the field ( $T_{\rm b}$ ) exhibit a strong phylogenetic signal at the generic level, and even at higher taxonomic levels (Avery, 1982; van Berkum, 1988; Huey et al., 1989; Andrews, 1998). These patterns emerge despite variation in  $T_{\rm b}$  that results from the constraints and opportunities provided by particular habitats, weather patterns, interspecific interactions, etc. (Huey and Slatkin, 1976; Downes and Shine, 1998; Rock et al., 2002). Nonetheless, phylogenetic patterns can be sharpened with a metric that disentangles body temperature from environmental influences.  $T_{\rm sel}$ , the body temperature selected by lizards on laboratory thermal gradients, provides information on actual thermal 'preference' (Huey et al., 1989; Andrews, 1998; Hertz et al., 1993).

The above characterization of the thermal biology of lizards is based largely on data from a subset of lizard diversity, re *Anolis*, *Sceloporus*, macroteiids, skinks, and geckos. Are these characterizations applicable to other lizard groups? Chameleons comprise a relatively large (about 130 species) group of highly specialized arboreal predators (Necas, 1999; Pough et al., 2004). The family is

E-mail address: randrews@vt.edu

characterized by prey capture by ballistic tongue projection, zygodactylus grasping feet, prehensile tails, slow deliberate movements, and reliance on crypsis for predator avoidance (Wainwright and Bennett, 1992; Cuadrado et al., 2001; Butler, 2005). An *a priori* expectation from their homogeneous 'slow-lane' lifestyle and energy-conservative behavior is that the family would be characterized by relatively low  $T_{\rm h}$ 's.

This study had two major objectives. The first was to add to the very limited set of information available on the thermal biology of chameleons. In accord, I measured  $T_b$ 's of *Chamaeleo chamaeleon* in Spain. *C. chamaeleon* is widely distributed in northern Africa with isolated populations in Spain and Portugal and on a number of Mediterranean islands (Pleguezuelos et al., 1999). In Spain, the species is restricted to small patches of native Mediterranean scrub along the southwestern coast (Mellado et al., 2001). The second objective was to summarize available information on the thermal biology of the Chamaeleonidae and to compare this information with that of other diurnal lizards.

## 2. Materials and methods

I made observations on the thermal biology of *C. chamaeleon* in and around the Jardin Botánico at Rota and at the Centro Recuperación Especies Amenazadas facility at El Puerto de Santa María, Cádiz Province,

<sup>\*</sup>Tel.: +1 540 231 5728; fax: +1 540 231 9307.

<sup>0306-4565/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2007.10.001

Spain, during 25–27 October 2005 and on 14 June 2006. Measurements of  $T_{\rm b}$  were made between 1000 and 1400 h. The weather was sunny with scattered clouds (2 days in October and in June) or hazy (1 day in October). In general, October is relatively cool and June is relatively warm; mean maximum temperatures at El Puerto de Santa María for these months for 2001–2006 were 24.7 and 29.3 °C, respectively. Individuals ranged in size from 50 to 123 mm snout–vent length (4–37 g), although most individuals were adults (SVLs > 85 mm).

Body temperatures of chameleons were measured within a few seconds of capture. In June,  $T_b$ 's (n = 16) were measured with an Omega thermocouple thermometer (Model HH21) inserted 1–2 cm into the cloaca. In October, temperatures of 22 individuals were measured using an infrared (IR) thermometer (Raytek Raynger ST60). Readings were taken at the center of the trunk region on the right and left flanks (Hare et al., 2007). Cloacal temperatures of seven of these individuals were also measured using a Schultheis quick-reading thermometer immediately after recording IR temperatures. To determine the association between cloacal temperature and IR temperature,  $T_{\rm b}$ (cloacal) was used as the dependent variable and the lower, higher, and mean of the two IR temperatures were used as independent variables in regression analyses. The higher of the two IR temperatures provided the best predictor of  $T_{\rm b}$  $(T_{\rm b} = 0.44 + 0.96 \text{ IR}, F_{1,5} = 40.0, P = 0.0015, R^2 = 0.89).$ Equations for all three IR temperatures predicted  $T_{\rm b}$ 's accurately at the high end of the observed  $T_{\rm b}$  range. At an IR value of 31 °C, for example, the models predicted  $T_{\rm b}$ 's of 30.5–31.5 °C. At the low end of the observed  $T_{\rm b}$  range, however, the model using the higher of the two IR temperatures performed far better than the other models. At an IR value of 25 °C, for example, the former model predicted a  $T_{\rm b}$  of 25.4 °C and the mean IR and the lower of the two IR temperature models underestimated  $T_{\rm b}$  by 1.1 and 2.9 °C, respectively. The higher of the IR values was accordingly used as a surrogate for  $T_{\rm b}$  for the 15 individuals for whom  $T_{\rm b}$  was not measured directly. Shaded air temperatures  $(T_a)$  at 1 cm above the perch site were measured with the Omega thermocouple thermometer. The Omega and Schultheis thermometers were calibrated against a NIST-certified thermometer in the laboratory. Data on long-term (2001-2006) ambient temperatures were obtained for the Estación Meteorológica de Puerto de Santa María posted on the web site of the Instituto Andaluz de Investigación y Formación Agraria y Pesquera.

Additional observations on the thermal biology of chameleons were found from web-based and standard literature searches. The data were screened for quality and consistency (e.g. presentation of original data, adequate sample size, data presented for adults, or at least, relatively large individuals in a population, observations of  $T_b$  made during the normal daytime activity period). When observations of  $T_b$ 's were reported for different seasons, I used data for the season when the opportunities for

thermoregulation were the greatest. Coastal locations were arbitrarily assigned an elevation of 20 m if elevation was not given in the paper.  $T_{sel}$ 's were from studies on individuals in laboratory thermal gradients or in outdoor cages provided with areas of full sun and heavy shade. Ambient temperature gradients in both situations provided chameleons the potential to achieve  $T_b$ 's well above and below actual selected body temperatures.

Parametric analyses were conducted using SAS software (SAS Institute, 1996). Probability values of less than 0.05 were considered significant. The assumption of homogeneity of slopes for ANCOVAs was satisfied by testing for significance of the interaction of the covariate with treatment variables.

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Observations on C. chamaeleon

Chameleons were typically perched in the sun or in partial shade at 30–50 cm (range 0–200 cm) above the ground. *Retama monosperma* was the most commonly used perch site; this was the most common shrub on the study areas, although chameleons were occasionally found on other shrubby vegetation. Thermoregulatory behavior of chameleons consisted of movements between the exposed branches on the sides and tops of shrubs and their relatively shaded centers. Thirty-five of the 38 chameleons observed were females.

Overall,  $T_b$ 's of chameleons averaged 28.8 °C (n = 38, range 24.0–32.3 °C).  $T_b$  was related to  $T_a$  (Fig. 1) as  $T_b = 13.0 + 0.62T_a$  ( $F_{1,36} = 30.5$ , P < 0.0001,  $R^2 = 0.46$ ). The slope (b = 0.62) differed from 1.0 (t = 3.3, p < 0.01, Sokal and Rohlf, 1981).

Seasonal differences were pronounced.  $T_b$ 's were lower during October than during June ( $F_{1,36} = 9.7$ , P = 0.004, one-factor ANOVA) in accord with lower  $T_a$ 's. Respective



Fig. 1. Body temperature  $(T_b)$  as a function of ambient temperature  $(T_a)$  for *Chamaeleon chamaeleon* (n = 38). Data collected in October are indicated by solid circles and data collected in June by open circles. The dashed line represents isothermy and the solid line is the overall regression between  $T_b$  and  $T_a$ .

mean  $T_b$ 's for October and June were 28.0 °C (range 24.5–32.3 °C) and 29.8 °C (range 27.6–31.6 °C) and respective mean  $T_a$ 's were 24.5 and 26.5 °C. During October when  $T_a$  was relatively low,  $T_b$  was positively correlated with  $T_a$  (r = 0.66, P = 0.001, n = 22). In contrast, during June when  $T_a$  was relatively high,  $T_b$  was independent of  $T_a$  (r = 0.33, P = 0.22, n = 16). Nonetheless, after accounting for  $T_a$ ,  $T_b$ 's in October and June did not differ ( $F_{1,35} = 1.7$ , P = 0.19, one-factor ANCOVA with  $T_a$  as the covariate).

Individual *C. chamaeleon* were active thermoregulators. Overall, the slope of the regression between  $T_b$  and  $T_a$  was less than 1;  $T_b$ 's were relatively high when  $T_a$  was the lowest and relatively low when  $T_a$  was the highest. Moreover, the lower, higher, and mean of the two IR temperatures were very similar to observed  $T_b$ 's when  $T_b$ 's were relatively high (31 °C), but only the higher of the IR temperatures was similar to  $T_b$  when  $T_b$  was low (25 °C). These observations suggest that at low ambient temperatures chameleons were oriented perpendicular to the sun such that the shaded flank would have a lower IR than the insolated flank, but that when ambient temperature was high, chameleons were not directly exposed to the sun and as a consequence their IR and (cloacal)  $T_b$ 's were similar.

The 'preferred'  $T_b$  of *C. chamaeleon* was about 30 °C, the mean  $T_b$  observed in June. This conclusion is based on the observations that: (1) maximum observed  $T_b$ 's were the same in October and June, that is, 32 °C, (2)  $T_b$  was not correlated with  $T_a$  in June; the independence of  $T_b$  relative to  $T_a$  indicates active thermoregulation, and (3)  $T_b$ 's observed in June were exhibited during the time of year with the greatest potential for raising  $T_b$ 's to preferred levels; long-term maximum ambient temperatures for June, July, and August averaged 29.3, 30.5, and 30.0 °C, respectively.

Table 1 Mean field and selected body temperatures (°C) of chameleons

#### 3.2. Selected and field body temperatures of chameleons

I found information on  $T_{sel}$  or  $T_b$  or both for 17 populations and 12 species of chameleons (Table 1). With the exception of *Furcifer pardalis* from Madagascar, all species were African. The overall mean  $T_{sel}$  was 30.9 °C with a range of 25.0–33.4 °C. Mean  $T_b$  was 29.7 °C with a range of 22.2–32.5 °C. While mean  $T_{sel}$  and  $T_b$  were similar, the range of  $T_{sel}$ 's was less than the range of  $T_b$ 's.  $T_{sel}$  and  $T_b$  were not correlated (r = 0.04, P = 0.91, n = 10).  $T_b$  was not related to latitude (r = 0.19, P = 0.54, n = 13) but was negatively correlated with elevation (r = -0.58, P = 0.04, n = 13). Inspection of the data (Fig. 2) indicated that individuals were able to maintain  $T_b$ 's of about 31 °C up to about 2000 m; at higher elevations  $T_b$ 's declined below preferred levels.



Fig. 2. Field body temperatures  $(T_b)$  of chameleons as a function of elevation. Data are from Table 1.

Species	Selected $T_{sel}$ 's	Field $T_{\rm b}$ 's	Elevation (m)	Latitude	Source
BRpu	25.0	28.1	140	34°S	Burrage (1973)
CHaf	31.0	_	20	37°N	Dimaki et al. (2000)
CHbi	31.1	28.9	2000	2°S	Bennett (2004)
CHca	32.9	_	40	17°N	Zari (1993)
CHca	30.4	_	_	_	Andrews, unpublished
CHch	_	29.8	20	37°N	This study
CHch	31.6	-	20	38°N	Dimaki et al. (2000)
CHdi	_	31.2	1830	26°S	Stebbins (1961)
CHdi	32.8	32.0	800	2°S	Bennett (2004)
CHel	33.4	32.5	1800	$0^{\circ}N$	Bennett (2004)
CHho	_	26.0	> 3000	1°S	Hebrard et al. (1982)
CHho	30.2	31.3	1700	2°S	Bennett (2004)
CHja	32.1	30.4	1700	$2^{\circ}S$	Bennett (2004)
CHna	29.3	32.0	1000	23°S	Burrage (1973) (inland)
CHna	29.3	30.9	100	23°S	Burrage (1973) (coastal)
CHsc	32.6	22.2	3300	$0^{\circ}S$	Bennett (2004)
FUpa	31.0	31.3	20	15°S	Ferguson et al. (2004)

BRpu: Bradypodion pumilum; CHaf: Chamaeleo africanus; CHbi: Chamaeleo bitaeniatus; CHca: Chamaeleo calyptratus; CHch: Chamaeleo chamaeleo, CHdi: Chamaeleo dilepis; CHel: Chamaeleo ellioti; CHho: Chamaeleo hohnelii; CHja: Chamaeleo jacksonii; CHna: Chamaeleo namaquensis; CHsc: Chamaeleo schubotzi; FUpa: Furcifer pardalis.

Chameleons exhibit relatively low  $T_b$ 's for diurnal lizards. For example,  $T_b$ 's of species from low to moderate elevations (<1700 m) averaged 31 °C with a range of only 28.1–32.0 °C (Table 1). In contrast, diurnal lizard species of open-habitats at low to moderate elevations exhibit mean  $T_{\rm b}$ 's of 34–40 °C (Huey et al., 1989; Andrews, 1998; Belliure et al., 1996). This difference does not reflect habitat per se because chameleons also exhibt low  $T_{\rm b}$ 's relative to sympatric diurnal lizards (Psammodromus: Belliure et al., 1996; Mabuya: Hebrard et al., 1982). Despite relatively low  $T_{\rm b}$ 's, however, chameleons are not thermoconformers or thermally passive (Bennett, 2004). The similarity of  $T_{\rm b}$  and  $T_{\rm sel}$  indicates that chameleons actively maintain body temperatures about 30 °C when ambient conditions permit. At very high elevations, chameleons and other lizards are seldom able to achieve 'preferred' temperatures because of low ambient temperature and pervasive cloud cover (Hebrard et al., 1982; Andrews, 1998; Bennett, 2004). Nonetheless, a  $T_{sel}$  of about 30 °C is typical. For example, when Chamaeleo schubotzi individuals were transplanted from 3300 to 1700 m, T<sub>b</sub>'s increased from 22 °C (Bennett, 2004) to over  $30 \degree C$  (Angilletta et al., 2006).

Relatively low body temperatures thus appear to be part of a suite of coadapted characters of chameleons. Chameleons rely on camouflage for predator avoidance (Cuadrado et al., 2001). They are 'cruising' predators that move slowly, but relatively frequently (Butler, 2005). Locomotion speed is limited by the physiology, biochemistry, and morphology of muscles (Guppy and Davison, 1982; Abu-Ghalyun et al., 1988). Prey capture occurs at a distance by tongue projection once cautious movement has brought the chameleon within striking distance. Chameleons feed frequently and most prey items, including spiders, heteroptera, and flying insects such as diptera and hymenoptera, are small (Pleguezuelos et al., 1999; Hofer et al., 2003). Chameleons, however, do not share the reduced standard metabolism that characterizes reclusive predators such as gekkonids and xantusiids (Andrews and Pough, 1985). Standard (fasting, during normal period of inactivity) metabolic rates of Chamaeleo calyptratus are similar to those of other day-active lizards (data in Zari (1993) were compared with predictive equations of Andrews and Pough, 1985). Nonetheless, field metabolic rates of chameleons are likely to be lower than those of other day-active lizards because of the combination of slow locomotion and relatively low  $T_b$ 's.  $T_b$ 's are often substantially lower than  $T_{sel}$  because individuals are active at times of day and seasons when they are unable to thermoregulate carefully. A Chamaeleo hohnelii, for example, projected its tongue and captured a prey item when its  $T_{\rm b}$  was 7 °C (Hebrard et al., 1982) and Chamaeleo jacksonii individuals fed and moved about at  $T_{\rm b}$ 's of 10 °C (Bennett, 2004). C. chamaeleon individuals are active year round despite low winter temperatures (Mellado et al., 2001); the lowest temperatures at my study sites are in January and February, with mean monthly minimum and maximum ambient temperatures of 5 and 16 °C. Similarly, Bradypo*dion pumilum* are active at a wide range of  $T_b$ 's as a result of diel and seasonal changes in weather (Burrage, 1973).

While members of the family Chamaeleonidae may share most, if not all, features of a slow-lane lifestyle, information on their thermal biology is limited to the genera *Chamaeleo*, Furcifer, and *Bradypodion*. Because their geographic ranges include the diversity of habitats occupied by the entire family, it is unlikely that the thermal biology of these genera reflects thermal specialization based on habitat. Nonetheless, generalizations provided here should only tentatively be extended to the family as a whole.

# Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the Morris Animal Foundation for financial support for fieldwork, Carmen Díaz-Paniagua and Adolfo Marco for logistic support, and Juan Gómez, Juan Patiño, and Liliana Quiñones for their assistance in the field.

#### References

- Abu-Ghalyun, Y., Greenwald, L., Hetherington, T.E., Gaunt, A.S., 1988. The physiological basis of slow locomotion in chameleons. J. Exp. Zool. 245, 225–231.
- Andrews, R.M., 1998. Geographic variation in field body temperature of *Sceloporus* lizards. J. Therm. Biol. 23, 329–334.
- Andrews, R.M., Pough, F.H., 1985. Metabolism of squamate reptiles: allometric and ecological relationships. Physiol. Zool. 58, 214–231.
- Angilletta Jr., M.J., Bennett, A.F., Gunderley, H., Navas, C.A., Seebacher, F., Wilson, R.S., 2006. Coadaptation: a unifying principle in evolutionary thermal biology. Physiol. Biochem. Zool. 79, 282–294.
- Avery, R.A., 1982. Field studies of body temperatures and thermoregulation. In: Gans, C., Pough, F.H. (Eds.), Biology of the Reptilia, Physiology C, vol. 12. Academic Press, New York, pp. 93–166.
- Belliure, J., Carrascal, L.M., Diaz, J.A., 1996. Covariation of thermal biology and foraging mode in two Mediterrranean lacertid lizards. Ecology 77, 1163–1173.
- Bennett, A.F., 2004. Thermoregulation in African chameleons. In: Morris, S., Vosloo, A. (Eds.), Animals and Environments. Proceedings of the Third International Conference of Comparative Physiology and Biochemistry, International Congress Series, vol. 1275. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 234–241.
- Burrage, B.R., 1973. Comparative ecology and behaviour of *Chamaeleo pumilus pumilus* (Gmelin) and *C. namaquensis* A. Smith (Sauria: Chamaeleonidae). Ann. S. Afr. Mus. 61, 1–158.
- Butler, M.A., 2005. Foraging mode of the chameleon, *Bradypodion pumilum*: a challenge to the sit-and-wait versus active forager paradigm? Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 84, 797–808.
- Cuadrado, M., Martínez, J., López, P., 2001. Camouflage and escape decisions in the common chameleon *Chamaeleo chamaeleon*. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 72, 547–554.
- Dimaki, M., Valakos, E.D., Legakis, A., 2000. Variation in body temperature of the African chameleon *Chamaeleo africanus* Laurenti, 1768 and the common chameleon *Chamaeleo chamaeleon* (Linnaeus, 1758). Belg. J. Zool. 130 (Suppl.), 89–93.
- Downes, S., Shine, R., 1998. Heat, safety or solitude? Anim. Behav. 55, 1387–1396.
- Ferguson, G.W., Murphy, J.B., Ramanamanjato, J.-B., Raselimanana, A.P., 2004. The Panther Chameleon: Color Variation, Natural History, Conservation, and Captive Management. Krieger Publishing Co., Malabar, FL.

- Hare, J.R., Whitworth, E., Cree, A., 2007. Correct orientation of a handheld infrared thermometer is important for accurate measurement of body temperatures in small lizards and tuatara. Herpetol. Rev. 38, 311–315.
- Hebrard, J.J., Reilly, S.M., Guppy, M., 1982. Thermal ecology of *Chamaeleo hohnelli* and *Mabuya varia* in the Aberdare Mountains; constraints on heterothermy in an alpine habitat. J. East Afr. Nat. Hist. Soc. 176, 1–8.
- Hertz, P.E., Huey, R.B., Stevenson, R.D., 1993. Evaluating temperature regulation by field-active ectotherms: the fallacy of the inappropriate question. Am. Nat. 142, 796–818.
- Hofer, U., Baur, H., Bersier, L., 2003. Ecology of three sympatric species of the genus *Chamaeleo* in a tropical upland forest in Cameroon. J. Herpetol. 37, 203–207.
- Huey, R.B., Slatkin, M., 1976. Cost and benefits of lizard thermoregulation. Q. Rev. Biol. 51, 363–384.
- Huey, R.B., Niewiarowski, P.H., Kaufmann, J., Heron, J.C., 1989. Thermal biology of nocturnal ectotherms: is sprint performance of geckos maximal at low body temperatures? Physiol. Zool. 62, 488–504.
- Guppy, M., Davison, W., 1982. The hare and the tortoise: metabolic strategies in cardiac and skeletal muscules of the skink and the chameleon. J. Exp. Zool. 220, 289–295.
- Mellado, J., Giménez, L., Gómez, J.J., Sanjuán, M., 2001. El camaleón en Andalucía. Distribución actual y amenazas para su supervivencia. Fundación Alcalde Zoilo Ruiz-Mateos, Colección Rabeta Ruta, no. 6.

- Necas, P., 1999. Chameleons: Nature's Hidden Jewels. Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt am Main, 348pp.
- Pleguezuelos, J.M., Poveda, J.C., Monterrubio, R., Ontiveros, D., 1999. Feeding habits of the common chameleon, *Chamaeleo chamaeleon* (Linnaeus, 1758) in the southeastern Iberian Peninsula. Isr. J. Zool. 45, 267–276.
- Pough, F.H., Andrews, R.M., Crump, M.L., Cadle, J.E., Savitzky, A.H., Wells, K.D., 2004. Herpetology. Prentice-Hall, New York, 726pp.
- Rock, J., Cree, A., Andrews, R.M., 2002. The effect of reproductive condition on thermoregulation in a viviparous gecko from a cool climate. J. Therm. Biol. 27, 17–27.
- SAS Institute, 1996. SAS Version 8.2. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Sokal, R.R., Rohlf, F.J., 1981. Biometry: The Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research. W.H. Freeman, New York, 859pp.
- Stebbins, R.C., 1961. Body temperature studies in South African lizards. Koedoe 4, 54–67.
- van Berkum, F.H., 1988. Latitudinal patterns of the thermal sensitivity of sprint speed in lizards. Am. Nat. 132, 327–343.
- Wainwright, P.C., Bennett, A.F., 1992. The mechanism of tongue projection in chameleons. I. Electromyographic tests of functional hypotheses. J. Exp. Biol. 168, 1–21.
- Zari, T.A., 1993. Effects of body mass and temperature on standard metabolic rate of the desert chameleon *Chamaeleo calyptratus*. J. Arid Environ. 24, 75–80.